

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of West Virginia

The City of Huntington, West Virginia, et al.,

Plaintiff

v.

AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al.

Defendant

Civil Action No. 3:17-cv-01362

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION

To:

Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited
C/O J. Karim Aoun, Assistant General Counsel, Deloitte*(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)*

☒ **Testimony:** **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment:
[See Attachment A]

Place: Remotely (or another negotiated date, time, and location)	Date and Time: 09/18/2020 9:00 am
--	--------------------------------------

The deposition will be recorded by this method: Stenographically and/or by video and audio recording

- ☐ **Production:** You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and must permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material:

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 09/10/2020

CLERK OF COURT

OR

/s/ Michael J. Fuller, Jr.

*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk**Attorney's signature*

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing *(name of party)* Plaintiffs City of Huntington and Cabell County Commission, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Michael J. Fuller, Jr., 97 Elias Whiddon Road, Hattiesburg, MS 39402 mike@mchughfuller.com; 601-261-2220

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Civil Action No. 3:17-cv-01362

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
on *(date)* _____ .

☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named individual as follows: _____

_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

☐ I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: _____
_____ .

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also
tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of
\$ _____ .

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)**(c) Place of Compliance.**

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
 - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
- (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) *Appearance Not Required.* A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) *Objections.* A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) *When Required.* On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
 - (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
 - (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- (B) *When Permitted.* To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) *Specifying Conditions as an Alternative.* In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) *Documents.* A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) *Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified.* If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) *Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form.* The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) *Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information.* The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) *Information Withheld.* A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) *Information Produced.* If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS

A. The terms “Deloitte,” “YOU” and “YOUR,” shall refer to Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, and any of its businesses, subsidiaries, divisions, subdivisions, affiliated entities, predecessors, successors, parents, and their respective officers, directors, employees, partners, representatives, agents, or other persons occupying similar positions or performing similar functions who have acted or presently act on YOUR behalf.

B. The term “LITIGATION” shall refer the pending lawsuits captioned *In re National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, N.D. Ohio, Case No. 1:17-MD-2804, *City of Huntington v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al.*, S.D. West Virginia, Case No.: 3:17-01362, and *Cabell County Commission v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al.*, S.D. West Virginia, Case No. 3:17-01665.

C. The terms “DOCUMENT” and “DOCUMENTS” shall be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope of the usage of this term in Fed. R. Civ. P. 34. A draft or non-identical copy is a separate Document within the meaning of this term. In all events, the definition of “Document” shall include “Communication,” as defined below.

DEPOSITION TOPICS

DEPOSITION TOPIC NO. 1:

The following documents Deloitte produced in response to a subpoena, agreement with the Parties, or Court Orders in this LITIGATION, as identified by Bates numbers

DC00009970,
DC00049121,
DC00011311,
DC00049645,
DC00173983,
DC00165359,

DC00075612,
DC00120560,
DC00038221,
DC00039006,
DC00117692,
DC00165901,
DC00002751,
DC00010169, and
DC00039022,

including:

- i. The date of the creation of each document;
- ii. The circumstances surrounding the creation of each document, including:
 - a. Who created the document;
 - b. Who was provided the document; and
 - c. The reason(s) why the document was created;
- iii. The use of each document;
- iv. The extent of distribution of each document to employees of Deloitte, and/or to Cardinal Health or any other party on its behalf;
- v. The basis for creating each document and whether it was associated with some scope of work agreement or work contract as well as the identification of any such agreement; and
- vi. Whether other versions of any of the documents were produced to Cardinal Health, and, if so, production of those versions and identification of them by Bates number.

DEPOSITION TOPIC NO. 2:

Whether each document included in Deposition Topic No. 1 (above) constitutes a business record of Deloitte, as that term is used in Fed. R. Evid. 803(6), including:

- i. Whether it is Deloitte's regular practice to prepare documents and records for use in Deloitte's business;
- ii. Whether it is Deloitte's regular practice to prepare documents and records at or near the date of the date(s) indicated on them;
- iii. Whether it is Deloitte's regular practice to retain and maintain records in the ordinary course of Deloitte's business; and
- iv. Whether these documents were maintained in Deloitte's computer systems, custodial, or business files.

DEPOSITION TOPIC NO. 3:

The authenticity of each document included in Deposition Topic No. 1 (above or any additional document identified in response to the above topics), as that term is used in Fed. R. Evid. 901, and whether each document is a true and accurate duplicate of the original document. FRE 901(b)(1); FRE 1001(d)-(e); *United States v. Oriach*, 222 F. App'x 312, 314 (4th Cir. 2007)